

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA**

**CHARLESTON DIVISION**

IN RE: C.R. BARD, INC., PELVIC REPAIR  
SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL 2187

-----

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL CASES

**PRETRIAL ORDER # 64**

**(Revised Short Form Complaint and Amended Short Form Complaint  
re: Removal of Analytic Biosurgical Solutions (“ABISS”))**

Today I entered PTO # 15 in In re Coloplast Pelvic Support System Product Liability Litigation, MDL 2387, granting a motion to amend the Master Complaint in that MDL to dismiss defendant Analytic Biosurgical Solutions (“ABISS”). As a result, I attach a **revised** (1) Short Form Complaint (Exhibit A); and a **revised** (2) Amended Short Form Complaint (Exhibit B) for this MDL that reflect omission of ABISS from these documents.

It is **ORDERED** as follows:

(1) While PTO # 51 (New Direct filing Order; Master Complaint, Short Form Complaint, Amended Short Form Complaint and Master Responsive Pleadings), PTO # 53 (Order re: Motion to Amend Short Form and Amended Short Form Complaints), and PTO # 62 (**Revised** Short Form Complaint and Amended Short Form Complaint re: Addition of Coloplast, et al.; **Revised** Motion to Transfer MDL) remain in force and effect (except with respect to the inclusion of ABISS), parties filing Short Form Complaints in new cases or Amended Short Form Complaints in existing cases **must use the revised forms attached to this PTO and located on**

**the court's website beginning January 10, 2013**, rather than the Short Form and Amended Short Form Complaints attached to previous PTOs; and

(2) To the extent plaintiffs have named ABISS by Short Form or Amended Short Form Complaint, they are granted leave to file an Amended Short Form Complaint dropping ABISS on or before **February 8, 2013**. This practice is consistent with the practice outlined by this court in the PTO related to direct filing. By filing an Amended Short Form Complaint that drops ABISS, the Clerk is permitted to terminate ABISS. In the alternative, plaintiffs may file a pleading in compliance with Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure should they wish to dismiss their directly filed action naming ABISS and name ABISS and any other party in the appropriate district where the action may be brought.

The court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to file a copy of this order in 2:12-md-2187 and it shall apply to each member related case previously transferred to, removed to, or filed in this district, which includes counsel in all member cases up to and including civil action number 2:13-cv-00165. In cases subsequently filed in this district, a copy of the most recent pretrial order will be provided by the Clerk to counsel appearing in each new action at the time of filing of the complaint. In cases subsequently removed or transferred to this court, a copy of the most recent pretrial order will be provided by the Clerk to counsel appearing in each new action upon removal or transfer. It shall be the responsibility of the parties to review and abide by all pretrial orders previously entered by the court. The orders may be accessed through the CM/ECF system or the court's website at [www.wvsc.uscourts.gov](http://www.wvsc.uscourts.gov).

ENTER: January 8, 2013



JOSEPH R. GOODWIN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA**

**CHARLESTON DIVISION**

*In re C. R. Bard, Inc.  
Pelvic System Products Liability Litigation  
MDL No. 2187*

**Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_**

---

**SHORT FORM COMPLAINT**

Come now the Plaintiff(s) named below, and for Complaint against the Defendants named below, incorporate The Master Complaint in MDL No. 2187 by reference. Plaintiff(s) further show the court as follows:

1. Female Plaintiff

\_\_\_\_\_

2. Plaintiff Husband

\_\_\_\_\_

3. Other Plaintiff and capacity (i.e., administrator, executor, guardian, conservator)

\_\_\_\_\_

4. State of Residence

\_\_\_\_\_

5. District Court and Division in which action is to be filed upon transfer from the MDL.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

6. Defendants (Check Defendants against whom Complaint is made):

A. C. R. Bard, Inc. ("Bard")

B. Sofradim Production SAS ("Sofradim")

- C. Tissue Science Laboratories Limited (“TSL”)
- D. Ethicon, Inc.
- E. Ethicon, LLC
- F. Johnson & Johnson
- G. American Medical Systems, Inc. (“AMS”)
- H. American Medical Systems Holdings, Inc. (“AMS Holdings”)
- I. Endo Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
- J. Endo Health Solutions Inc. (f/k/a Endo Pharmaceutical Holdings, Inc.)
- K. Boston Scientific Corporation
- L. Mentor Worldwide LLC
- M. Coloplast A/S
- N. Coloplast Corp.
- O. Coloplast Manufacturing US, LLC
- P. Porges S.A.

7. Basis of Jurisdiction

- Diversity of Citizenship

8.

a. Paragraphs in Master Complaint upon which venue and jurisdiction lie:

---

---

---

b. Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue

---

---

---

---

9. Defendants' products implanted in Plaintiff (Check products implanted in Plaintiff)

- A. The Align Urethral Support System;
- B. The Align TO Urethral Support System;
- C. The Avaulta Anterior BioSynthetic Support System;
- D. The Avaulta Posterior BioSynthetic Support System;
- E. The Avaulta Plus Anterior Support System;
- F. The Avaulta Plus Posterior Biosynthetic Support System;
- G. The Avaulta Solo Anterior Synthetic Support System;
- H. The Avaulta Solo Posterior Synthetic Support System;
- I. The InnerLace BioUrethral Support System;
- J. The Pelvicol Acellular Collagen Matrix;
- K. The PelviLace BioUrethral Support System;
- L. The PelviLace TO Trans-obturator BioUrethral Support System;
- M. The PelviSoft Acellular Collagen BioMesh;
- N. The Pelvitex Polypropylene Mesh;
- O. The Uretex SUP Purbourethral Sling;
- P. The Uretex TO Trans-obturator Urethral Support System;
- Q. The Uretex TO2 Trans-obturator Urethral Support System; and
- R. The Uretex TO3 Trans-obturator Urethral Support System.

S. Other

---

---

10. Defendants' Products about which Plaintiff is making a claim. (Check applicable products)

- A. The Align Urethral Support System;
- B. The Align TO Urethral Support System;
- C. The Avaulta Anterior BioSynthetic Support System;
- D. The Avaulta Posterior BioSynthetic Support System;
- E. The Avaulta Plus Anterior Support System;
- F. The Avaulta Plus Posterior Biosynthetic Support System;
- G. The Avaulta Solo Anterior Synthetic Support System;
- H. The Avaulta Solo Posterior Synthetic Support System;
- I. The InnerLace BioUrethral Support System;
- J. The Pelvicol Acellular Collagen Matrix;
- K. The PelviLace BioUrethral Support System;
- L. The PelviLace TO Trans-obturator BioUrethral Support System;
- M. The PelviSoft Acellular Collagen BioMesh;
- N. The Pelvitex Polypropylene Mesh;
- O. The Uretex SUP Purbourethral Sling;
- P. The Uretex TO Trans-obturator Urethral Support System;
- Q. The Uretex TO2 Trans-obturator Urethral Support System; and
- R. The Uretex TO3 Trans-obturator Urethral Support System.

S. Other

---

---

11. Date of Implantation as to Each Product

---

---

---

12. Hospital(s) where Plaintiff was implanted (including City and State)

---

---

13. Implanting Surgeon(s)

---

---

14. Counts in the Master Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s)

Count I

Count II

Count III

Count IV

Count V

Count VI

Count VII (by the Husband)

Count VIII

Other \_\_\_\_\_ (please state the facts supporting this Count in the space, immediately below)

Other \_\_\_\_\_ (please state the facts supporting this Count in the space, immediately below)

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Address and bar information:

---

---

---

---



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

CHARLESTON DIVISION

*In re C. R. Bard, Inc.*  
*Pelvic System Products Liability Litigation*  
*MDL No. 2187*

Civil Action No. [Redacted]

**AMENDED SHORT FORM COMPLAINT**

Come now the Plaintiff(s) named below, and for Complaint against the Defendants named below, incorporate The Master Complaint in MDL No. 2187 by reference. Plaintiff(s) further show the court as follows:

1. Female Plaintiff

[Redacted]

2. Plaintiff Husband

[Redacted]

3. Other Plaintiff and capacity (i.e., administrator, executor, guardian, conservator)

[Redacted]

4. State of Residence

[Redacted]

5. District Court and Division in which action is to be filed upon transfer from the MDL.

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

6. Defendants (Check Defendants against whom Complaint is made):

A. C. R. Bard, Inc. (“Bard”)

B. Sofradim Production SAS (“Sofradim”)

- C. Tissue Science Laboratories Limited (“TSL”)
- D. Ethicon, Inc.
- E. Ethicon, LLC
- F. Johnson & Johnson
- G. American Medical Systems, Inc. (“AMS”)
- H. American Medical Systems Holdings, Inc. (“AMS Holdings”)
- I. Endo Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
- J. Endo Health Solutions Inc. (f/k/a Endo Pharmaceutical Holdings, Inc.)
- K. Boston Scientific Corporation
- L. Mentor Worldwide LLC
- M. Coloplast A/S
- N. Coloplast Corp.
- O. Coloplast Manufacturing US, LLC
- P. Porges S.A.

7. Basis of Jurisdiction

- Diversity of Citizenship

8.

a. Paragraphs in Master Complaint upon which venue and jurisdiction lie:

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

b. Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue

[Redacted area]

9. Defendants' products implanted in Plaintiff (Check products implanted in Plaintiff)

- A. The Align Urethral Support System;
- B. The Align TO Urethral Support System;
- C. The Avaulta Anterior BioSynthetic Support System;
- D. The Avaulta Posterior BioSynthetic Support System;
- E. The Avaulta Plus Anterior Support System;
- F. The Avaulta Plus Posterior Biosynthetic Support System;
- G. The Avaulta Solo Anterior Synthetic Support System;
- H. The Avaulta Solo Posterior Synthetic Support System;
- I. The InnerLace BioUrethral Support System;
- J. The Pelvicol Acellular Collagen Matrix;
- K. The PelviLace BioUrethral Support System;
- L. The PelviLace TO Trans-obturator BioUrethral Support System;
- M. The PelviSoft Acellular Collagen BioMesh;
- N. The Pelvitex Polypropylene Mesh;
- O. The Uretex SUP Purbourethral Sling;
- P. The Uretex TO Trans-obturator Urethral Support System;
- Q. The Uretex TO2 Trans-obturator Urethral Support System; and
- R. The Uretex TO3 Trans-obturator Urethral Support System.

S. Other



10. Defendants' Products about which Plaintiff is making a claim. (Check applicable products)

- A. The Align Urethral Support System;
- B. The Align TO Urethral Support System;
- C. The Avaulta Anterior BioSynthetic Support System;
- D. The Avaulta Posterior BioSynthetic Support System;
- E. The Avaulta Plus Anterior Support System;
- F. The Avaulta Plus Posterior Biosynthetic Support System;
- G. The Avaulta Solo Anterior Synthetic Support System;
- H. The Avaulta Solo Posterior Synthetic Support System;
- I. The InnerLace BioUrethral Support System;
- J. The Pelvicol Acellular Collagen Matrix;
- K. The PelviLace BioUrethral Support System;
- L. The PelviLace TO Trans-obturator BioUrethral Support System;
- M. The PelviSoft Acellular Collagen BioMesh;
- N. The Pelvitex Polypropylene Mesh;
- O. The Uretex SUP Purbourethral Sling;
- P. The Uretex TO Trans-obturator Urethral Support System;
- Q. The Uretex TO2 Trans-obturator Urethral Support System; and
- R. The Uretex TO3 Trans-obturator Urethral Support System.

S. Other

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

11. Date of Implantation as to Each Product

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

12. Hospital(s) where Plaintiff was implanted (including City and State)

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

13. Implanting Surgeon(s)

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

14. Counts in the Master Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s)

Count I

Count II

Count III

Count IV

Count V

Count VI

Count VII (by the Husband)

Count VIII

Other [Redacted] (please state the facts supporting this Count in the space, immediately below)

Other [Redacted] (please state the facts supporting this Count in the space, immediately below)

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Address and bar information:



---

Attorneys for Plaintiff

---

---

---

---