

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA  
AT CHARLESTON**

IN RE: C.R. BARD, INC., PELVIC REPAIR  
SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL 2187

-----  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO  
CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:13-cv-29861

**PRETRIAL ORDER # 92  
(Order Regarding Severance of Actions)**

The complaint filed in the above-referenced actions joined multiple plaintiffs whose only apparent connection with one another is that they were implanted with a C. R. Bard (“Bard”) pelvic mesh product. I **FIND** that multiple plaintiff complaints, except those complaints in which only one individual alleges using a Bard product but another derivative plaintiff is named as well (e.g. cases in which a spouse is asserting only a loss of consortium claim), should be severed. Accordingly, to resolve any misjoinder concerns and to facilitate the efficient administration of these actions, it is **ORDERED** as follows:

1. Except for the first named plaintiff (and derivative plaintiff(s) claiming under her, if any) listed in each case in Exhibit A, attached hereto, **and** any plaintiff(s) who objects to severance (see paragraph 7), the remaining plaintiffs in the aforementioned cases shall be dismissed without prejudice on **December 20, 2013**.

2. Within thirty (30) days after the entry of this Pretrial Order (“PTO”), each individual plaintiff dismissed pursuant to paragraph 1 of this PTO who did not object to severance and any derivative plaintiff claiming under her, may file an individual severed complaint to continue the prosecution of the claims they alleged in the dismissed civil action. Each such plaintiff may

choose to file in this court, a Short Form Complaint in the form available on the court's website.

3. Any Short Form Complaint filed by a severed plaintiff must be accompanied by the appropriate filing fee, and assigned a separate civil action number determined by the Clerk.

4. Any severed plaintiff who wishes to name as defendants parties who are not listed in the current Master Long Form Complaint as defendants must file an individual complaint in the District Court where such action properly can be filed and await transfer by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (the "Panel").

5. Any re-filed action (whether filed in another district or in the Southern District of West Virginia) shall refer to the dismissed civil action and its original filing date. This information must be added to the Short Form Complaint immediately under the Civil Action Number or to the style of the long form complaint immediately under the Civil Action Number assigned by the Clerk in the district where the action is filed. (*See* Exhibit B, attached hereto.)

6. Any individual Complaint filed within the time provided by this PTO will be deemed to have been filed on and relate back to the original filing date of the applicable multi-plaintiff civil action. Any statute of limitations defense that existed as of the filing dates of the original multi-plaintiff complaints shall be preserved.


7. Any plaintiff(s) who objects to this PTO must do so within ten (10) days of entry of this PTO or by **December 16, 2013**. Such plaintiff should file her objections in the Southern District of West Virginia in the original multi-plaintiff case, with any objection not to exceed two (2) pages. Any plaintiff(s) who objects shall not be severed until such objection is resolved by the court.

8. The court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to place a copy of this PTO in each re-filed action

filed pursuant to this PTO that is directly filed in the Southern District and in each re-filed action filed pursuant to this PTO that is transferred by the Panel on the date the case is opened in the Southern District.

The court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to file a copy of this order in 2:10-md-02187 and in member case **2:13-cv-29861**. In cases subsequently filed in this district, a copy of the most recent pretrial order will be provided by the Clerk to counsel appearing in each new action at the time of filing of the complaint. In cases subsequently removed or transferred to this court, a copy of the most recent pretrial order will be provided by the clerk to counsel appearing in each new action upon removal or transfer. It shall be the responsibility of the parties to review and abide by all pretrial orders previously entered by the court. The orders may be accessed through the CM/ECF system or the court's website at [ww.wvsd.uscourts.gov](http://ww.wvsd.uscourts.gov).

ENTER: December 2, 2013



JOSEPH R. GOODWIN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

**EXHIBIT A**

<b>Plaintiffs</b>	<b>Originating District</b>	<b>Originating Case No.</b>	<b>SDWV – MDL Member Case No.</b>
Francisca Escamilla Lorie Short Kathryn Holt Kimberly Wilhelm Lora Wilder Kathie Hines Marsha Yannes Minnie Miller	Texas Western	5:13-cv-01022	2:13-cv-29861

**Exhibit “B”**

**I. For Directly Filed Cases:**

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA**

**CHARLESTON DIVISION**

*In Re: C. R. BARD, INC.*

***PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION***

***MDL No. 2187***

**Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_**

**(Severed from Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_ filed \_\_\_\_\_)**

---

**SHORT FORM COMPLAINT**

**I. For All Other Cases:**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN**

PATRICIA BERGGREN,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_  
(Severed from SDWV Civil Action  
No. \_\_\_\_\_ filed \_\_\_\_\_)

C. R. BARD, INC., ET AL.,

Defendants.

**COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND**